

1 Kelly J. Brinkman, Esq.
 2 Nevada Bar No. 6238
 3 GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY
 4 4496 South Pecos Road
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
 (702) 436-2600(Telephone)
 (702) 436-2650 (Fax)

E-FILED on 4/16/07

5 Attorneys for Administrative Creditor

7 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 In re:

10 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
 11 Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

In re:

12 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
 13 Debtor.

Chapter 11

In re:

14 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
 15 Debtor.

Jointly Administered Under Case No.
 BK-S-06-10725 LBR

In re:

16 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
 17 Debtor.

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
 HEARING REGARDING OMNIBUS
 OBJECTION OF THE USACM
 LIQUIDATING TRUST TO
 CLAIMS ASSERTING
 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE
 STATUS**

In re:

18 USA SECURITIES, LLC,
 19 Debtor.

Date: April 26, 2007
 Time: 9:30 a.m.

Affects:

- All Debtors
- USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- USA Securities, LLC
- USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA First Trust Deed Fund, LL

23 Goold Patterson Ales & Day (“GPAD”) hereby file this Response (“Response”) to the
 24 Omnibus Objection of the USACM Liquidating Trust (“Trust”) to Claims Asserting
 25 Administrative Expense Status (“Objection”).

26 The Objection to GPAD’s administrative portion of its claim is without merit and should
 27 be overruled. First, the Trust has provided no factual grounds to substantiate disallowing

1 GPAD's administrative claim. That is, the Objection merely asserts that the claims should be
 2 disallowed based on a vague "does not qualify as an administrative expense."
 3

4 Second, GPAD's post-petition work qualifies as an actual and necessary cost and expense
 5 of preserving the estate under both §§ 503(b)(1)(A) and 503(b)(3)(D), entitling GPAD to
 6 administrative priority. Specifically, the following work was done post-petition in connection
 7 with GPAD's administrative claim:

<u>Client Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1133.001	Initial letter to Mr. Allison shortly after the bankruptcy filing to advise him of the litigation cases GPAD was handling and to seek guidance as to the handling of them.	\$131.05
1133.101	Included in error and should be deleted.	\$437.72
1133.102	Proceed with mandatory Nevada Supreme Court filings as appellee/cross appellant, and to consult with the debtor's bankruptcy counsel to prepare them for taking over the case. The work was to defend a judgment worth about \$70,000, and to seek reversal of the trial court's reduction of the award to the debtor of \$20,000. The settlement judge agreed with GPAD's position on the appeal, including reversal as to the \$20,000 award.	\$5,796.99
1133.109	Proceeding with minimal duties as plaintiff in a case to recover about \$117,000 from defendant under a written contract, and to inform debtor's counsel about the case prior to their taking it over.	\$1,512.39
1133.114	Work to preserve debtor's position as counterclaimant in a federal court case, and to consult with debtor's counsel about our proceeding with or their taking over the case.	\$4,975.19
2800.033	Included in error and should be deleted.	\$1,022.02

22 BACKGROUND

23 On or about November 9, 2006, GPAD filed (directly and through BMC) a proof of claim
 24 and administrative claim request (the "GPAD Claim") against USA Commercial Mortgage
 25 Company (the "Debtor"). See GPAD Claim filed against the Debtor attached hereto as Exhibit
 26 A, which is referenced on the Claims Register as Claim Nos. 761 and 968. Additionally, the
 27 GPAD Claim only seeks administrative expense status for \$12,416.62, not the \$79,372.96
 28

1 alleged by the Trust.

2 Pursuant to Objection, the Trust objects to GPAD's Claim on the grounds that this claim
 3 is not "the kind of expense that generally qualifies as administrative under section 503(b),"
 4 Further, the Trust alleges that GPAD "submitted no evidence in support of [its] alleged status."
 5 See the Objection as page 6, lines 1-3. The Trust, however, provides no support for its Objection
 6 and is contrary to the evidence submitted with the GPAD Claim.

7

8 ARGUMENT

9 1. The Trust's Vague and Unsubstantiated Objection Fails to Defeat GPAD's Properly
 10 Supported Administrative Claim.

11 As noted in the Objection by the Trust, a claim constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the
 12 validity and amount of the claim pursuant to FRBP 3001(f). See Objection, page 5, ¶ 3. In order
 13 to overcome a claimant's *prima facie* case, however, a party objecting to the proof of claim must
 14 deduce facts tending to defeat the claim. See *In re Nejedlo*, 324 B.R. 697, 699 (Bankr. E.D. Wis.
 15 2005) ("Under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), a properly executed and filed proof of claim constitutes
 16 *prima facie* evidence of both the validity and amount of the claim. According to the courts that
 17 have considered this Rule, a party objecting to the claim must produce evidence to rebut the
 18 claim or the claim will prevail; **the mere denial of the validity or amount is not sufficient to**
 19 **sustain an objection to a claim**") (emphasis added) (citing *In re Glenn*, 100 B.R. 763, 766
 20 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1989)); *In re Reilly*, 245 B.R. 768, 773 (B.A.P. 2d Cir. 2000) ("To overcome
 21 this *prima facie* evidence, the objecting party must come forth with evidence which, if believed,
 22 would refute at least one of the allegations essential to the claim."); see also *In re Woodmere*
 23 *Investors LP*, 178 B.R. 346, 354 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1995). Here, all the Trust has come forth with
 24 is a conclusory allegation that GPAD's Claim "is not the kind of expense that generally qualifies
 25 as administrative under Section 503(b)." The Objection, however, sets forth no facts to defeat
 26 GPAD's claim.

1 GPAD submits that its Claim does provide adequate information for its basis, and,
 2 accordingly, the Trust's mere assertion, without more, does not warrant disallowance of this
 3 Claim.

4 Basically, the Trust has failed to sustain its burden of proof to overcome GPAD Claim's
 5 validity. In a case such as this, where the Objection is merely a formal objection without
 6 evidence, the Court should summarily overrule the Objection. See Garner v. Shier (In re
 7 Garner), 246 B.R. 617, 623 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2000) ("[In this case, the Debtor] proffered no
 8 evidence whatsoever, choosing instead to stand on a mere formal objection that the proofs of
 9 claim were not good enough . . . The consequence of the status of the proof of claim as
 10 constituting *prima facie* evidence of validity and amount is that the evidence of the proof of
 11 claim is strong enough to prevail over a mere formal objection without more. In effect, one who
 12 chooses to make a mere formal objection is electing to narrow the issue to whether the proof of
 13 claim is executed and filed in accordance with the rules.") (citations omitted); see also In re
 14 Schlehr, 290 B.R. 387, 395 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2003) ("Upon objection, the proof of claim
 15 provides 'some evidence as to its validity and amount' and is 'strong enough to carry over a
 16 mere formal objection without more.'") (citing *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623
 17 (9th Cir.1991) (quoting 3 L. King, *Collier on Bankruptcy* § 502.02, at 502-22 (15th ed.1991)).
 18

20 **2. GPAD's Post-Petition Work Was an Actual and Necessary Cost and Expense of**
Preserving the Estate Under Section 503(b)(1)(A).

21 Section 503(b)(1)(A) provides for an administrative claim for the "actual and necessary
 22 costs and expenses of preserving the estate." One of the main policies underlying this section is
 23 to provide an incentive for creditors and others to continue or commence doing business with an
 24 insolvent entity. In general, for a claim to qualify as a necessary preservation expense, the claim
 25 must have arisen from a transaction with the estate and it must have benefited the estate.
 26

27 Both of these requirements are easily satisfied here. The work done included a small
 28

1 charge to inform the debtor in possession of the ongoing work GPAD was doing on litigation
 2 cases that have deadlines and thus necessary work. Additional work was also performed on
 3 various matters to: (1) preserve (and enhance) a judgment by defending an appeal (including
 4 making a cross appeal); (2) proceed with a collection case, but only doing minimal work until
 5 debtor's counsel took the case; (3) preserve a claim in a case; and (4) assist the debtor's counsel
 6 with the transfer of those cases to debtor's litigation attorneys.
 7

8 3. GPAD's Post-Petition Work Resulted in a Substantial Contribution under Section
 9 503(b)(3)(D) and 503(b)(4) Entitling it to Administrative Priority.

10 Section 503(b)(3)(D) grants an administrative expense priority to the actual, necessary
 11 expenses incurred by a creditor in making a substantial contribution to the case. Factors courts
 12 have considered in determining whether an entity's participation constitutes a substantial
 13 contribution include: (1) whether the services were undertaken solely for the benefit of the party
 14 itself or for the benefit of all parties in the case; (2) whether the services were actions that would
 15 have been taken by the party on its own behalf, absent an expectation of reimbursement from the
 16 estate; (3) whether the party can demonstrate that its actions provided a direct benefit to the
 17 estate; (4) whether the benefit conferred upon the estate exceeds the costs sought to obtain that
 18 benefit; and (5) whether the actions were duplicative of those being taken by other parties in the
 19 case.
 20

21 Creditors have been held to have made substantial contributions where their actions led to
 22 negotiations that resulted in funds becoming available to the estate. See In re United States
 23 Lines, Inc., 103 B.R. 427 (Banks. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (negotiation of a settlement agreement
 24 creating funds for estate constituted a substantial contribution).

25 As indicated above, GPAD performed legal services that were not yet being performed
 26 by the Debtors' estates. This work included defending a judgment worth about \$70,000 and to
 27 seek reversal of a trial court's reduction of an award to the Debtor of \$20,000. The settlement
 28

1 judge agreed with GPAD's position on appeal, including reversal of the \$20,000. Such actions,
 2 therefore, substantially benefited this estate. Additionally, GPAD spent minimal time in a case
 3 where the Debtor was a plaintiff to recover \$117,000 from a defendant and then to inform the
 4 Debtor about the case prior to the Debtor taking the matter over. Each of GPAD's actions were
 5 minimal, were justified and resulted in a substantial contribution entitling GPAD administrative
 6 priority on account of its Claim.
 7

8 4. Despite the Trust's Contention, GPAD's Claim is Supported with Evidence.

9 Although the Trust assets that GPAD "submitted no evidence in support of [its] alleged
 10 status," this is simply not the case. As can be seen on GPAD's Claim attached as Exhibit A
 11 hereto, GPAD specifically broke-down its administrative portion of its claim by specific client
 12 matter. Thus, written documentation in support of such claim has already been provided to the
 13 Trust. Further, GPAD will, if necessary, submit additional documentation at any evidentiary
 14 trial on this matter.¹
 15

16 WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, GPAD respectfully requests that the Court
 17 overrule the Objection and grant such other relief as the Court deems proper.

18 GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY
 19

20 By: /s/ Kelly J. Brinkman
 21 Kelly J. Brinkman
 22 Nevada Bar No. 6238
 4496 South Pecos Road
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
 23
 24
 25
 26

27 1 The Trust also assets that GPAD did not schedule a notice or hearing for its
 28 administrative claim. Although this matter is already set for a hearing, to the extent necessary,
 GPAD requests permission to file a separate administrative claim request.

EXHIBIT A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF CLAIM

Name of Debtor:
USA Commercial Mortgage Company

Case Number:
06-10725

NOTE: See Reverse for List of Debtors and Case Numbers.
This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expense arising after the commencement of the case. A "request" for payment of an administrative expense may be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

Name of Creditor and Address:

11321241008372
GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY

4496 S PECOS RD
LAS VEGAS NV 89121-5030

Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to your claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.

Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court or BMC Group in this case.

Check box if this address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the court.

DO NOT FILE THIS PROOF OF CLAIM FOR A SECURED INTEREST IN A BORROWER THAT IS NOT ONE OF THE DEBTORS.

If you have already filed a proof of claim with the Bankruptcy Court or BMC, you do not need to file again.
THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY

Creditor Telephone Number ()

Last four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies debtor:

Check here replaces
if this claim or a previously filed claim dated: _____
 amends

1. BASIS FOR CLAIM

Goods sold Personal injury/wrongful death
 Services performed Taxes
 Money loaned Other (describe briefly)

Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 1114(a)

Unremitted principal

Wages, salaries, and compensation (fill out below)

Other claims against servicer (not for loan balances)

Last four digits of your SS #: _____

Unpaid compensation for services performed from: _____ to _____

(date) (date)

2. DATE DEBT WAS INCURRED: 1/1/06 - Ongoing

3. IF COURT JUDGMENT, DATE OBTAINED:

4. CLASSIFICATION OF CLAIM. Check the appropriate box or boxes that best describe your claim and state the amount of the claim at the time case filed.
See reverse side for important explanations.

UNSECURED NONPRIORITY CLAIM \$ 79,372.96

Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or lien securing your claim, or b) your claim exceeds the value of the property securing it, or c) none or only part of your claim is entitled to priority.

UNSECURED PRIORITY CLAIM

Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of which is entitled to priority.

Amount entitled to priority \$ _____

Specify the priority of the claim:

Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B)
 Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000)*, earned within 180 days before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debtor's business, whichever is earlier - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).
 Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).

SECURED CLAIM

Check this box if your claim is secured by collateral (including a right of setoff).

Brief description of collateral:

Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other _____

Value of Collateral: \$ _____

Amount of arrearage and other charges at time case filed included in secured claim, if any: \$ _____

Up to \$2,225* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use -11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).

Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(e)(8).

Other - Specify applicable paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a) (____).

* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/1/07 and every 3 years thereafter with respect to cases commenced on or after the date of adjustment.

5. TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIM \$ 79,372.96 \$ _____
AT TIME CASE FILED: (unsecured) (secured) (priority) (Total) \$ 79,372.96

Check this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.

6. CREDITS: The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited and deducted for the purpose of making this proof of claim.

7. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: Attach copies of supporting documents, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgments, mortgages, security agreements, and evidence of perfection of lien. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. If the documents are not available, explain. If the documents are voluminous, attach a summary.

8. DATE-STAMPED COPY: To receive an acknowledgment of the filing of your claim, enclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope and copy of this proof of claim.

The original of this completed proof of claim form must be sent by mail or hand delivered (FAXES NOT ACCEPTED) so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 pm, prevailing Pacific time, on November 13, 2006 for each person or entity (including individuals, partnerships, corporations, joint ventures, trusts and governmental units).

BY MAIL TO:
BMC Group

Attn: USACM Claims Docketing Center
P. O. Box 911
El Segundo, CA 90245-0911

BY HAND OR OVERNIGHT DELIVERY TO:

BMC Group
Attn: USACM Claims Docketing Center
1330 East Franklin Avenue
El Segundo, CA 90245

THIS SPACE FOR COURT USE ONLY

DATE
11/3/06

SIGN and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this claim (attach copy of power of attorney, if any):

Kelly J. Brinkman

Attachment to Proof of Claim

Debtor: USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY
Case No. 06-10725-LBR

Creditor: GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY

1. **Basis for claim.** Without limiting the basis for this claim, the consideration for this debt is legal services.

2. **Date debt was incurred.** January 2006 - ongoing.

3. **Intentionally omitted.**

4. **Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed.** **\$79,372.96.** This amount includes amounts owing as the petition date (\$65,496.10) as well as amounts owing for the post-petition period (\$13,876.86). Creditor reserves the right to file an administrative claim for the post-petition services. Creditor acknowledges, however, that to the extent it receives payment on its administrative claim (\$13,876.86) it does not intend to seek recovery of that post-petition amount in its proof of claim. That is, if Creditor receives the full \$13,876.86 on account of its administrative claim, the amount of this proof of claim would only be \$65,496.10 (\$79,372.96 - \$13,699.74).

5. **Secured Claim.** Creditor may be entitled to a setoff, recoupment, counterclaim, or a combination thereof. In addition, to the extent, if any, that Creditor is in possession of assets of the Debtor, Creditor has a security interest therein. Claimant expressly reserves all rights with respect thereto, under § 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, applicable nonbankruptcy law, and otherwise.

6. **Intentionally omitted.**

7. **Intentionally omitted.**

8. **Supporting Documents.** Attached are invoice summaries broken down by matter and by pre and post petition work. The detailed invoices with client descriptions are voluminous and contain attorney-client information. Copies of the detailed invoices will be provided upon reasonable request.

Additional Items

Amendment. Creditor specifically reserves the right to amend, supplement, or withdraw this claim at any time.

Priority. Creditor specifically reserves the right to assert any portion of this claim as an administrative-expense priority.

FILE #	DESCRIPTION	FEES	COSTS	TOTAL
1133.001	General & Miscellaneous	\$ 1,730.00	\$ 25.95	\$ 1,755.95
1133.102	v. James J. Lee, Esq.	\$ 14,680.00	\$ 2,592.54	\$ 17,272.54
1133.109	re: Claim Against James Lee for Settlement in Jones Litigation	\$ 22,700.20	\$ 522.25	\$ 23,222.45
1133.114	adv. Rolland Weddell	\$ 2,736.42	\$ 28.26	\$ 2,764.68
2800.001	General & Miscellaneous	\$ 812.50	\$ 12.19	\$ 824.69
2800.004	Binford Medical Developers, LLC (\$8,375,000)	\$ 3,672.65	\$ 53.48	\$ 3,726.13
2800.007	Castaic Partners III, LLC (\$4,500,000)	\$ 2,400.08	\$ 59.77	\$ 2,459.85
2800.011	Fiesta Development, Inc.	\$ 232.50	\$ 3.49	\$ 235.99
2800.012	Lucid Land Development, LLC	\$ 232.50	\$ 3.49	\$ 235.99
2800.023	Modification of Pegasus-MH Ventures I, LLC Loan	\$ 2,500.00	\$ -	\$ 2,500.00
2800.027	MS Acquisition Company Loan	\$ 2,145.00	\$ -	\$ 2,145.00
2800.033	Fox Hills 216, LLC et al. Loan (\$29,000,000)	\$ 1,516.76	\$ 6.97	\$ 1,523.73
2800.036	Meadow Creek Partners, LLC Loan (\$19,950,000)	\$ 1,062.50	\$ 31.84	\$ 1,094.34
2800.037	BySynergy, LLC Loan (\$4,434,446)	\$ 1,415.00	\$ 21.23	\$ 1,436.23
2800.042	King/Oak Shores II Reorganization	\$ 845.00	\$ 12.68	\$ 857.68
2800.047	Ten Ninety Loan	\$ 422.50	\$ 6.34	\$ 428.84
2800.049	Bundy Canyon Land Development, LLC (\$8,900,000)	\$ 2,480.00	\$ 37.20	\$ 2,517.20
2800.050	Annie Nounna Notes	\$ 487.50	\$ 7.31	\$ 494.81
TOTAL DUE THROUGH 4/12/06		\$ 62,071.11	\$ 3,424.99	\$ 65,496.10

FILE #	DESCRIPTION	FEES	COSTS	TOTAL
1133.001	General & Miscellaneous	\$ 130.00	\$ 1.95	\$ 131.95
1133.101	adv. George and Katherine Wiens	\$ 431.25	\$ 6.47	\$ 437.72
1133.102	v. James J. Lee, Esq.	\$ 3,820.00	\$ 1,976.99	\$ 5,796.99
1133.109	re: Claim Against James Lee for Settlement in Jones Litigation	\$ 1,292.50	\$ 219.89	\$ 1,512.39
1133.114	adv. Rolland Weddell	\$ 4,865.00	\$ 110.19	\$ 4,975.19
2800.033	Fox Hills 216, LLC et al. Loan (\$29,000,000)	\$ 1,007.50	\$ 15.12	\$ 1,022.62
TOTAL DUE FROM 4/13/2006 to PRESENT		\$ 11,546.25	\$ 2,330.61	\$ 13,876.86